1. AENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
To: DAVID A. CASIMIR MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP		PCT			
101 HOWARD STREET, SUITE 350	WP	ITTEN OPINION OF THE			
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105	•	ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
}					
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
·	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	11 MAY 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER				
WICAB-09674	See paragraph 2 below				
	date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US04/35305 22 October 2004 (2	22 10 2004)				
PCT/US04/35305 22 October 2004 (2 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national class		22 October 2003 (22.10.2003)			
IPC(7): A61N 1/18 and US Cl.: 607/2					
Applicant					
BACH-Y-RITA, PAUL					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the fall union					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following	g items:	·			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain documents cited	Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the internation	Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the inter	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION					
	s made, this oninion will	he considered to be a written opinion of the			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized office	Sharon yl. Greene for			
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Jeffrey R. Jastrz	unaron 76. Stelle for			
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Joiney R. Jastiz	σ			
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (571)272-4947			
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/US04/35305	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material				
a sequence listing				
table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material				
in written format				
in computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing				
contained in international application as filed.				
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Additional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/35305

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	4, 6, 15, 17, 28, 30	YES	
	Claims	1-3, 5, 7-14, 16, 18-27, 29, 31-34	NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4, 15, 28	YES	
	Claims	1-3, 5-14, 16-27, 29-34	NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-34	YES	
	Claims	NONE	NO	
multiple implantable stimulators stimulate with vib Claims 6, 17 and 30 lack an inventive step under I transmission via induction but not light. Light tra- implantable stimulator art wand would have amount Claims 4, 15 and 28 meet the criteria set out in PC tactile stimulation in an implantable device. Claims 1-34 meet the criteria set out in PCT Artice	PCT Article 33(2) nsmission is a kn nted to an obviou T Article 33(2)) as being obvious over McConnell. McConne own functional equivalent to inductive commun is substitution of known functional equivalents. (3), because the prior art does not teach or fair	nication in the	
can be made or used in industry.				